	1 .
1	THAT WHEN SOMEONE SAYS, I CAN TAKE "X" AMOUNT OF
2	BEERS BEFORE I CAN GET DRUNK, THAT'S NOT ALWAYS AN
3	ACCURATE STATEMENT.
4	THE COURT: BUT YOU'VE NEVER RAISED THIS AS A
5	DEFENSE.
6	MR. EIDE: YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE NOT RAISED AS AN
7	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, IN OTHER WORDS, MR. MERSON SAYING,
8	"I WAS DRUNK." BUT HE DID TESTIFY THAT HE HAD BEEN
9	DRINKING BEERS, AND THE STATE'S WITNESSES TESTIFIED
10	THAT HE HAD BEEN DRINKING.
11	AND THAT COULD BE SOMETHING THAT WOULD
12	LESSEN HIS INTENT OR FIRE PASSIONS, IF THE JURY BELIEVED
13	THAT HE WAS THERE. SO WE WOULD REQUEST THAT INSTRUCTION
14	STILL.
15	THE COURT: THE REQUEST FOR THE INSTRUCTION WILL
16	BE DENIED.
17	ANYTHING ELSE WE NEED TO TAKE UP?
18	MR. EIDE: NOTHING ELSE, YOUR HONOR.
19	THE COURT: RETURN THE JURY.
20	(THEREUPON, THE JURY ENTERED THE COURTROOM, AFTER
21	WHICH THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS TRANSPIRED:)
22	THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY,
23	NEEDLESS TO SAY, WE'VE GOT SOME AIR-CONDITIONING
24	PROBLEMS. FEEL FREE TO REMOVE YOUR JACKETS, IF YOU

WISH, AND THAT APPLIES TO EVERYONE ELSE. IF THEY WISH

1	TO REMOVE THEIR JACKETS, THEY ARE WELCOME TO DO SO.
2	THEY'VE TOLD US THEY ARE WORKING ON THE
3	AIR-CONDITIONING AND IT WOULD BE DONE BY LUNCH TIME.
4	BUT IT DOESN'T APPEAR TO BE DONE BY LUNCH TIME HERE
5	SO FAR. HOPEFULLY, IT WILL BE WORKING HERE SOON.
6	BOTH THE STATE AND THE DEFENSE HAVE NOW
7	RESTED THEIR CASES. THE ATTORNEYS WILL NOW PRESENT
8	THEIR FINAL ARGUMENTS.
9	PLEASE, REMEMBER THAT WHAT THE ATTORNEYS
10	SAY IS NOT EVIDENCE. HOWEVER, DO LISTEN CLOSELY TO
11	THEIR ARGUMENTS. THEY ARE INTENDED TO AID YOU IN
12	UNDERSTANDING THE CASE.
13	EACH SIDE WILL HAVE EQUAL TIME. BUT THE
14	STATE IS ENTITLED TO DIVIDE THIS TIME BETWEEN AN
15	OPENING ARGUMENT AND A REBUTTAL ARGUMENT AFTER THE
16	DEFENSE HAS SPOKEN.
17	THE STATE MAY PROCEED.
18	MR. BENDER: THANK YOU YOUR HONOR.
19	CLOSING ARGUMENT
20	MR. BENDER: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, I WOULD LIKE
21	TO THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THE TIME AND CONSIDERATION
22	THAT YOU HAVE GIVEN DURING THIS TRIAL.
23	AS YOU RECALL, WHEN WE WERE ASKING YOU
24	OUPORTONG ON RUPGDAY DUDING TUDY OF PORTON WE WENT

OVER A NUMBER OF ELEMENTS, A NUMBER OF THEORIES,

1	UP A STORY OF SEXUAL ABUSE, TO BE AWAKENED IN THE
2	PRIVACY OF HER OWN BEDROOM LATE IN THE MORNING, WHEN
3	SHE HAS BEEN ASLEEP, AND FIND AN INTRUDER HOVERING
4	OVER HER AND THEN COMMIT ONE OF THE MOST DASTARDLY
5	ACTS THAT WE CAN DO IN OUR SOCIETY; COMMIT ORAL SEX,
6	COMMIT A SEXUAL BATTERY UPON THE GIRL?
7	I WILL BE THE FIRST TO ADMIT TO YOU THAT
8	CHILDREN DO LIE. YOU KNOW WHAT, CHILDREN LIE FOR THE
9	SAME REASONS THAT ADULTS LIE. CHILDREN LIE TO GET OUT
10	OF TROUBLE, NOT TO GET INTO TROUBLE.
11	"WHO LEFT THE TRIKE IN THE DRIVEWAY WHEN
12	I CAME HOME FROM WORK?"
13	"NOT ME, DAD."
14	"WHO ATE THIS LAST PIECE OF CAKE WHEN I
15	TOLD THEM NOT TO?"
16	"NOT ME, MOM."
17	CHILDREN LIE FOR THE SAME REASONS AS ADULTS
18	LIE, TO GET OUT OF TROUBLE. CHILDREN DO NOT LIE TO
19	GET INTO TROUBLE.
20	AND YOU HEARD ANGELA AND MICHELLE
21	TESTIFY YESTERDAY, AND YOU HEARD THEM TELL YOU THAT
22	THEY KNEW THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN TELLING THE TRUTH
23	AND TELLING A LIE.
24	
04	YOU HEARD ANGELA TELL YOU THAT SHE

KNEW WHAT THE TRUTH WAS, AND YOU HEARD HER TESTIFY

1 THAT SHE PROMISED TO TELL THE TRUTH TODAY.

YOU ALSO HEARD HER SAY THAT, "IF I DON'T TELL THE TRUTH I KNOW I COULD GET INTO TROUBLE."

AND WHAT WOULD PROMPT A LITTLE GIRL TO

COME INTO A COURTROOM FULL OF STANGERS, TO SIT ON

THAT WITNESS STAND, AT TEN YEARS OF AGE, AND TALK

ABOUT A VERY TRAUMATIC, VERY EMBARRASSING EVENT,

IN FRONT OF A BUNCH OF STRANGERS, AND MAKE UP A STORY

THAT THIS MAN WAS IN HER BEDROOM COMMITTING A SEXUAL

BATTERY?

FORGET THAT CHILDREN LIE. IT'S UNBELIEVABLE
THAT THEY EVEN COME FORWARD IN THE FIRST PLACE, KNOWING
WHAT THEY HAVE TO GO THROUGH, THE TRAUMA AND THE STRESS
AND THE UNCERTAINTY.

WE'RE LUCKY THAT CHILDREN HAVE THE COURAGE
TO COME FORWARD AT ALL. AND IT IS NO DIFFERENT IN ANY
SEXUAL BATTERY, REGARDLESS OF THE AGE.

WHAT HAD HAPPENED TO HER. SHE TOLD YOU THAT SCOTTY

MERSON, WHOM SHE HAD KNOWN FOR YEARS, WHOM SHE HAD

BEEN OUT SOCIALLY WITH, WITH HER OLDER SISTER, TINA,

MANY, MANY TIMES; THAT SHE HAD BEEN BABYSAT BY THE

DEFENDANT SCOTTY MERSON; THAT MICHELLE ALSO KNEW.

THAT MICHELLE AND ANGELA WERE GOOD FRIENDS

WITH SCOTTY MERSON; THAT THERE WAS NO ANIMOSITY BETWEEN

1 THEM. THERE WERE NO FIGHTS. THEY LIKED SCOTTY. 2 WHY WOULD THEY MAKE UP A STORY ABOUT SOMEONE 3 THAT THEY LIKED; THAT HAD BEEN THEIR FRIEND; THAT HAD GONE OUT WITH THEM? 4 WHAT WOULD POSSESS A TEN-YEAR-OLD AND A 5 6 SEVEN-YEAR-OLD TO MAKE UP A STORY OF THIS NATURE, OF 7 THIS MAGNITUDE? ASK YOURSELVES THAT QUESTION. AND ASK YOURSELVES WHY ON EARTH THEY WOULD COME IN AND 8 TESTIFY TO GET INTO TROUBLE, NOT TO GET OUT OF TROUBLE. 9 10 ANGELA AND MICHELLE GET NO REWARD BY TESTIFYING. THEY GET NO FAME OR FORTUNE. 11 THEY 12 HAVE NOTHING TO GAIN BY COMING FORWARD AND LYING TO 13 YOU ON THAT WITNESS STAND. BUT WHEN WE LOOK AT OTHER WITNESSES THAT 14 15 HAVE TESTIFIED, WE HAVE TO EVALUATE THE REASONS THAT 16 THEY CAME FORWARD. WE HAVE TO EVALUATE THEIR TESTIMONY. IF YOU RECALL DURING THE OPENING STATEMENT, 17 18 I ASKED YOU TO REFLECT ON THREE THINGS WHEN YOU HEARD 19 THE TESTIMONY OF THE WITNESSES. 20 I ASKED YOU TO CONCENTRATE, ONE, ON THE 21 CREDIBILITY OF EVERYONE THAT WAS GOING TO TESTIFY, TO 22 WATCH THEM CAREFULLY, TO WATCH THEM CAREFULLY, TO USE YOUR OWN COMMON SENSE AND GOOD JUDGMENT IN DETERMINING 23 24 THE CREDIBILITY OF WITNESSES.

AS I GO ON WITH THE REST OF MY CLOSING

ARGUMENTS I WANT YOU, OF COURSE, TO ALL REFLECT UPON
YOUR OWN RECOLLECTION OF THE TESTIMONY. AND I CERTAINLY
AM NOT TRYING TO MISSTATE OR MISLEAD YOU. IF SOMETHING
I SAY DIFFERS WITH YOUR RECOLLECTION, THEN BY ALL MEANS
YOU ARE TO GO BY YOUR RECOLLECTION.

ANGELA AND MICHELLE TESTIFIED.

YOU SAW THEM ON THE WITNESS STAND. OBVIOUSLY, MICHELLE

WAS A LITTLE FRIGHTENED, A LITTLE SCARED, A LITTLE

INTIMIDATED BY THE ENTIRE PROCESS. THAT'S NOT UNUSUAL.

AS ADULTS WE ARE OFTEN FRIGHTENED AND NERVOUS ABOUT SPEAKING IN FRONT OF PEOPLE, PARTICULARLY PEOPLE WE HAVE NOT MET BEFORE.

I WOULD SUBMIT THAT MICHELLE WHEN SHE TESTIFIED, WHILE SHE WAS UNABLE TO REMEMBER SPECIFIC DATES AND TIMES, WHICH IS COMMON AMONG ALL OF US, SHE WAS ABLE TO PLACE SCOTTY MERSON IN THAT BEDROOM THAT NIGHT, A PERSON THAT SHE HAD KNOWN FOR YEARS.

THERE WAS NO MISTAKE ABOUT IT. AND SHE
WENT OVER AND POINTED OUT SCOTTY MERSON. YES, SHE SAID
HE HAD ON A BLACK COAT. DARK-BLUE AND BLACK LOOK ALIKE
FROM A DISTANCE. MICHELLE KNOWS WHO SCOTTY MERSON
IS, AND SHE POINTED HIM OUT.

YOU, ARE THE SOLE ARBITRATORS OF CREDIBILITY. IF YOU BELIEVE MICHELLE IS LYING, THEN THAT IS WHAT YOU

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
<b>17</b>	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

MUST SO FIND.

BUT IF YOU BELIEVE THAT SHE WAS BEING TRUTHFUL, WE MUST ALSO THEN LOOK AT ANGELA TESTIMONY. ANGELA IS THREE YEARS OLDER THAN HER SISTER.

I THINK WE ALL AGREE THAT ANGELA IS A VERY BRIGHT, ARTICULATE AND VERY ATTRACTIVE YOUNG GIRL. HER DEMEANOR ON THE STAND WAS EXEMPLARY, AND SHE ANSWERED MY QUESTIONS WITH MATURITY SEVERAL YEARS BEYOND HER YOUNG AGE.

AND SHE TOLD YOU IN NO UNCERTAIN TERMS WHAT HAPPENED ON MAY 17TH AND MAY 18TH OF THIS YEAR. THAT SHE AWOKE TO FIND SCOTTY MERSON ON MICHELLE'S SIDE OF THE BED.

HE CAME OVER, PULLED UP HER UNDERWEAR,
LIFTED UP HER NIGHT1E AND PLACED HIS TONGUE ON HER
VAGINA AND LICKED HER VAGINA.

SHE USED THE WORD "PRIVATES". THAT IS NOT AN UNUSUAL TERM FOR A CHILD TO USE AND FOR SOME ADULTS TO USE.

SHE WASN'T SQUEAMISH OR TIMID. SHE TOLD
YOU WHAT HAD HAPPENED, IN NO UNCERTAIN TERMS WHAT HAD
HAPPENED. YOU HAD A CHANCE TO WATCH HER TESTIMONY AND
TO GAUGE HER CREDIBILITY.

IF YOU BELIEVE THAT ANGELA IS LYING

23

AND IF YOU BELIEVE THAT MICHELLE IS LYING, THEN YOU HAVE A DUTY AND AN OBLIGATION TO RETURN A VERDICT OF NOT GUILTY.

BECAUSE, AS I'VE TOLD YOU BEFORE, WE HAVE

NO OTHER EVIDENCE LINKING SCOTTY MERSON TO THIS CRIME.

WE HAVE NO FINGERPRINTS. WE HAVE NOTHING ELSE TO

ESTABLISH HIS PRESENCE THERE OTHER THAN THE TESTIMONY

OF THESE WITNESSES, ANGELA AND MICHELLE , WHICH I

HAVE TOLD YOU IS ALL YOU NEED TO CONVICT.

BUT YOU DON'T JUST HAVE ABGELA'S WORD. WE HAVE MICHELLE'S, AS WELL. WE HAVE MORE THAN ONE PERSON TELLING YOU THAT SCOTTY MERSON WAS IN THAT BEDROOM.

BOTH GIRLS IDENTIFIED HIM. BOTH GIRLS HAD NO REASON TO LIE.

NOW, 1 ASK YOU TO REMEMBER THE CREDIBILITY
OF ALL THE WITNESSES. AND YOU HEARD FROM THE
GRANDMOTHER, WILLIE STOCKWELL. YOU HEARD FROM THE
GRANDFATHER, RAYMOND STOCKWELL. YOU HEARD FROM THEIR
MOTHER, CATHY COLE. AND YOU HEARD FROM INVESTIGATOR
FRANK ROACH.

AND I WOULD SUBMIT TO YOU THAT THEIR
TESTIMONY WAS BELIEVABLE AND CREDIBLE; NO REASON TO
LIE, NO INCONSISTENCIES, OTHER THAN AN UNCERTAINTY
BY MR. STOCKWELL AS TO WHAT TIME HIS WIFE HAD LEFT.
OTHER THAN THAT MINOR POINT, THEIR TESTIMONY WAS

1	ACCURATE.
2	SURE, THEY ARE THE FAMILY MEMBERS OF ANGELA
3	AND MICHELLE
4	DECISION ON THEIR CREDIBILITY.
5	THEY ADMITTED THEY DIDN'T SEE SCOTTY MERSON
6	THEY CANNOT TELL YOU FOR CERTAIN WHETHER HE WAS THERE.
7	THEY TOLD YOU THEY DON'T KNOW IF HE WAS.
8	THEY DIDN'T SEE THEM WITH THEIR OWN EYES.
9	AND THE SAME WITH CATHY MEN, AND THE SAME WITH FRANK
10	ROACH. I WOULD SAY THAT THEIR CREDIBILITY IS BEYOND
11	REPROACH.
12	LET US TURN NOW TO THE WITNESSES THAT YOU
13	SAW PRESENTED BY MR. EIDE; FRIENDS, GIRLFRIEND OF THE
14	DEFENDANT. AND I ASK YOU TO REFLECT UPON THEIR
15	CREDIBILITY AS WELL. REMEMBER VERY CAREFULLY AS TO
16	WHAT THEY HAD TO SAY.
17	THE JUDGE WILL READ TO YOU THAT IN SUMMING
18	UP OR CONSIDERING HOW TO GAUGE THE CREDIBILITY OF A
19	WITNESS YOU CAN LOOK AT THESE FOLLOWING FACTORS; SOME
20	THINGS YOU SHOULD CONSIDER ARE:
21	DID THE WITNESS SEEM TO HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY
22	TO SEE AND KNOW THE THINGS ABOUT WHICH THE WITNESS
23	TESTIFIED?

MEMORY?

25

DID THE WITNESS SEEM TO HAVE AN ACCURATE

THE DEFENDANT,

1	WAS THE WITNESS HONEST AND STRAIGHTFORWARD
2	WHEN ANSWERING THE QUESTIONS OF THE ATTORNEYS?
3	DID THE WITNESS HAVE SOME INTEREST IN HOW
4	THE CASE SHOULD BE DECIDED?
5	AND, LASTLY, DID THE WITNESS' TESTIMONY
6	AGREE WITH THE TESTIMONY OF THE OTHER WITNESSES?
7	LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, YOU'VE HEARD MARY
8	ALLISON LOWERY. YOU HEARD MICHAEL HYLAND. YOU HEARD
9	MICHAEL WILLEY, SHAWN WILLEY, CHRISTI MOORE, JUDY
10	WILLEY AND TAMMY WILLEY. YOU HEARD THEIR TESTIMONY.
11	ALL I CAN TELL YOU, AND I WOULD SUBMIT TO
12	YOU, IS THAT THEY ARE ALL LIARS IN ONE WAY OR ANOTHER.
13	WE HAVE HEARD SO MUCH CONFLICTING TESTIMONY FROM THE
1.4	DEFENSE WITNESSES, I DON'T KNOW WHO TO BELIEVE. I
15	DON'T KNOW WHO TO BELIEVE.
16	AND I ASK YOU, IF YOU RECALL, TO CONSIDER A
17	SECOND THING WHEN CONSIDERING THEIR TESTIMONY. DO YOU
18	RECALL, ON OPENING STATEMENT I ASKED YOU TO CONSIDER
19	THE LOYALTY OF A FRIEND AND WHAT THEY WILL DO FOR THAT
30	FRIEND? REMEMBER THE LOYALTY HERE.
21	I THINK WHEN WE APPLY THAT FIRST STANDARD,
22	GAGING THE CREDIBILITY, IN CONTEXT WITH WHAT INTEREST
23	DO THEY HAVE, WHAT LOYALTY DO THEY HAVE TO THE DEFENDAN
24	I THINK IT MAKES IT ABUNDANTLY CLEAR THAT THEIR STORIES

WERE FULL OF CONTRADICTIONS AND FULL OF LIES.

WHY? I CAN ONLY SUPPOSE IT WAS IN ORDER TO PROTECT THIS MAN -- (INDICATING). HE WAS FACING A SERIOUS CRIME. HIS FRIENDS DECIDED TO GET A STORY TOGETHER. THE PROBLEM WAS THEY COULDN'T GET THEIR STORIES STRAIGHT.

WE HEARD SOME INCONSISTENCIES ABOUT THE VIDEOTAPES. WE HEARD INCONSISTENCIES ABOUT THE CAR, MICHAEL WILLEY'S CAR, AND WHETHER OR NOT IT WAS DRIVABLE OR NOT AND WHETHER OR NOT HAD IT A FLAT TIRE.

WE HEARD SOME INCONSISTENCIES ABOUT WHAT TIME PERSONS WENT TO BED AND FROM JUDY AND TAMMY WILLEY, WHO SAID THEY WALKED OUT AND LOOKED AND SAW THE DEFENDANT LAYING ON THE MATTRESS.

EVERYONE WAS ASLEEP. DO YOU BELIEVE THAT

EVERYONE WAS ASLEEP? BUT WE HEARD FROM THE DEFENDANT,

HIMSELF, THAT HE WAS AWAKE AND ALTHOUGH HE HEARD SOMEONE

IN THE TRAILER, HE DID NOT SEE THEM.

AND I ASKED, "DID THEY SEE YOU?"
"I DON'T KNOW. I DON'T KNOW."

THEN HE HEARD ANOTHER PERSON COMING OUT

FROM, APPARENTLY, A BEDROOM INTO THE KITCHEN; ASSUMING
THAT'S PROBABLY TAMMY WILLEY COMING TO GET A BOTTLE
FOR HER SON.

"DID YOU SEE HER?"

"NO."

1	"DID SHE SEE YOU?"
2	"I DON'T KNOW."
3	TAMMY WILLEY SAID, "I CAME AROUND AND I
4	LOOKED, AND THERE WAS MICHAEL WILLEY, MARY LOWERY AND
5	SCOTTY MERSON. THEY WERE ALL ASLEEP."
6	DO YOU RECALL MR. MERSON'S TESTIMONY? "I
7	WAS AWAKE. I DIDN'T SEE THEM."
8	"DID THEY SEE YOU?"
9	"I DON'T KNOW."
10	HOW CONVENIENT. SELECTIVE MEMORY IS A
11	TRAIT OF ALL ADULTS. WE SOMETIMES REMEMBER THINGS
12	THAT WE WANT TO REMEMBER. AT OTHER TIMES WE DON'T
13	REMEMBER THEM BECAUSE WE DON'T WANT TO REMEMBER THEM.
14	WE DON'T WANT TO TELL SO WE CONVENIENTLY SAY,
15	"I DON'T RECALL. I DON'T REMEMBER. I DON'T KNOW."
16	THIS WAS ONLY FIVE MONTHS AGO, MAY OF 1990.
17	IN FACT, TODAY IS EXACTLY FIVE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF
18	THE EVENT. THAT'S NOT A LONG PERIOD OF TIME. YET
19	THERE WAS A LOT OF THINGS THE DEFENSE WITNESSES COULD
30	NOT REMEMBER.
21	AND THAT'S UNDERSTANDABLE. CHILDREN FORGET.
22	SO DO ADULTS. BUT, REMEMBER, AS I TOLD YOU DURING THE
23	JURY SELECTION THAT ADULTS ARE PRACTICED LIARS.
24	WE KNOW HOW TO LIE BETTER THAN KIDS DO. BUT
25	THAT'S BECAUSE WE'RE OLDER. WE'RE MORE MATURE. WE'VE

EXPERIENCED LIFE A LITTLE MORE. ADULTS CAN LIE A LOT 1 2 BETTER THAN CHILDREN BECAUSE, BASICALLY, WHEN CHILDREN 3 ARE LYING WE CAN PRETTY WELL TELL WHEN THEY ARE. AND I WOULD SUBMIT TO YOU THAT ANGELA AND 5 MICHELLE COLE WERE NOT LYING ON THAT WITNESS STAND THE OTHER DAY; THAT THEY WERE TELLING YOU THE TRUTH. 6 THAT SCOTTY MERSON, FOR WHATEVER REASON, 7 8 FOR WHATEVER REASON -- BECAUSE, REMEMBER, THE STATE 9 IS NOT REQUIRED TO PROVE A MOTIVE FOR HIS ACTIONS. FOR MR. MERSON'S ACTIONS ABOUT GOING INTO THAT BEDROOM, 10 GOING TO A HOUSE WHERE HE WAS INTIMATELY FAMILIAR WITH 11 12 THE LAYOUT OF THE HOME, WAS INTIMATELY FAMILIAR WITH 13 THE ROOMS IN THE HOUSE. 14 ALTHOUGH, ON SOME QUESTIONS HE CAN'T REMEMBER 15 THE DETAILS OF THE HOME EVEN THOUGH HE HAD BEEN A GUEST 16 FOR MANY YEARS AND HAD BEEN DATING TINA COLE FOR EIGHT 17 YEARS. 18 ALL I CAN TELL YOU, THE DEFENSE WITNESSES. 19 WHILE THEY WERE TELLING YOU SOME ACCURATE INFORMATION 20 SUCH AS THEIR NAMES AND WHERE THEY LIVED, FOR THE MOST PART THEY WERE LYING. THEIR STATEMENTS WERE SO 21 INCONSISTENT. 22 PLEASE USE YOUR OWN RECOLLECTION.

23

24

25

I THINK ONE OF THE MOST GLARING IS REGARDING MICHAEL WILLEY'S CAR. DO YOU RECALL THE DEFENDANT, SCOTT MERSON, COMES FROM WORKING TWO WEEKS OR SO DOWN

1	IN SOUTH FLORIDA, SOME ARDUOUS LABOR, DIGGING UP PALM
2	TREES.
3	AND HE HURRIES HOME AND DECIDES TO GO OUT
4	TO APOPKA, SOME 15, 20 MILES AWAY, TO SLEEP ON A MATTRESS
5	WITH ANOTHER MAN AND WATCH VIDEOS AND DRINK BEER, AND
6	THAT HE WAS GOING TO FIX THE FLAT TIRE AS HE GETS UP
7	IN THE MORNING, OF MICHAEL WILLEY'S CAR.
8	PERHAPS I SHOULD CORRECT MYSELF. THERE
9	WAS ONE DEFENSE WITNESS THAT I WOULD SUBMIT WAS BEING
10	TRUTHFUL. THAT WAS MICHAEL HYLAND.
11	MICHAEL HYLAND TOLD YOU AND I HAVE TO
12	SAY ALMOST MADE A MISTAKE IN TELLING YOU THAT THAT
13	CAR AND THAT TIRE WERE WORKABLE.
14	REMEMBER WHAT HE SAID? "MIKE AND I FIXED
15	THE TIRE EARLIER THAT DAY."
16	AND HE WENT LIKE IT SLIPPED OUT, LIKE HE
17	DIDN'T MEAN TO SAY IT. BUT HE DID. AND I WOULD SUBMIT
18	HE WAS THE ONLY ONE TELLING THE TRUTH.
19	AND I SUBMIT THAT SCOTTY MERSON WAS ABLE
20	TO DRIVE THE NINE POINT ONE OR NINE POINT TWO MILES,
21	HOWEVER MUCH THAT DISTANCE WAS, IN AT LEAST 15 TO 18
23	MINUTES.
23	BUT, REMEMBER, HE IS DRIVING LIKE 1:30,

TWO O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING, AND TRAFFIC IS NOT THAT

SO AT THE VERY OUTSIDE IT TOOK HIM 18 MINUTES.

25

HEAVY.

1	HE WAS ONLY IN THE HOUSE FOR A FEW MINUTES.
2	BECAUSE HE WAS FAMILIAR WITH THE LAYOUT OF THE HOUSE,
3	HE KNEW WHERE HE WAS GOING AND HE GOT THERE QUICKLY.
4	HE THEN GOT BACK IN THE CAR AND DROVE BACK.
5	MAYBE 45 MINUTES IN ALL; EASILY TO BE DONE, BETWEEN
6	ONE AND TWO A.M.
7	MARY ALLISON LOWERY, SHE'S HIS GIRLFRIEND.
8	SHE CARES FOR HIM DEEPLY. ASK YOURSELVES: DOES THE
9	WITNESS HAVE SOME INTEREST IN HOW THE CASE SHOULD BE
10	DECIDED? I'LL LET YOU ANSWER THAT QUESTION IN THE
11	JURY ROOM.
12	ABRAHAM LINCOLN ONCE SAID THAT THE JURY IS
13	THE VOICE OF THE COMMON PEOPLE. AND TODAY YOU ARE THE
14	COMMON SENSE OF THE PEOPLE, THE STATE OF FLORIDA VERSU
15	SCOTTY MERSON; YOU, AND YOU ALONE.
16	AS WE TALKED ABOUT ALL THAT GOOD STUFF IN
17	CIVIC PRIDE AND CIVIC DUTY, IT ALL BOILS DOWN NOW
18	IT'S FOURTH AND GOAL ON THE ONE YARD LINE, AND TIME
19	IS RUNNING OUT.
20	THIS IS REAL LIFE. THIS IS NOT AN ACT.
21	THIS IS AN ACTUAL TRIAL, WITH REAL PEOPLE. AND IT NOW
33	SHORTLY WILL BE YOUR DECISION, WHETHER OR NOT YOU WILL
23	CONVICT SCOTTY MERSON OF THE SEXUAL BATTERY AND A
24	BURGLARY WITH A BATTERY THEREIN.

LISTEN CAREFULLY TO YOUR HEART, AS YOU HAVE

1 LISTENED TO THOSE WITNESSES AND ASSESS THEIR CREDIBILITY, THEIR VERACITY, THEIR TRUTHFULNESS AND WHETHER THEY 2 WERE BEING STRAIGHTFORWARD, WHETHER THEY WERE ABLE TO 3 GET THEIR STORY TOGETHER. 4 REMEMBER INVESTIGATOR ROACH SPEAKING WITH 5 THOSE PEOPLE AFTERWARDS? MARY ALLISON LOWERY SAID SHE 6 7 WAS IN BED AT ONE O'CLOCK. 8 HE TESTIFIED IT WAS TWO, 2:30. HE, OBVIOUSLY, 9 MADE A DIFFERENCE OF AN HOUR AND 20 MINUTES, YESTERDAY 10 ON THE STAND, TO IMPROVE HER STORY. 11 REMEMBER, SCOTTY HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO CALL 12 MICHAEL WILLEY SHORTLY AFTER HIS ARREST, PLENTY OF TIME 13 TO SAY, "I NEED YOU MY BUDDY, WHO I'VE KNOWN FOR YEARS. 14 YOU NEED TO HELP ME OUT. OKAY? ALL I NEED IS A LITTLE 15 BIT OF DOCUMENTATION FROM YOU GUYS THAT I WAS THERE 16 THAT NIGHT." 17 THE JUDGE WILL INSTRUCT THAT BEFORE YOU CAN 18 FIND THE DEFENDANT GUILTY OF SEXUAL BATTERY ON A CHILD 19 LESS THAN 12 YEARS OF AGE, THAT THE STATE MUST PROVE 20 TWO ELEMENTS BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT; NOT BEYOND A SHADOW OF A DOUBT; NOT BEYOND ALL DOUBT; NOT TO A ONE 21 HUNDRED PERCENT OF MATHEMATICAL CERTAINTY. 22

23

24

25

AND, REMEMBER, YOU ALL AGREED THAT YOU WOULD HOLD THE STATE TO NO HIGHER BURDEN AND YOU WOULD REQUIRE THE STATE ONLY TO PROVE THE ELEMENTS OF THE OFFENSE AND

1 NOTHING MORE.

THERE WERE TWO ELEMENTS. ONE IS THAT THE VICTIM, ANGELA CALLY, WAS LESS THAN 12 YEARS OF AGE.

AND THAT'S, BASICALLY, AN EASY ELEMENT TO SHOW.

AT THE TIME SHE WAS NINE-YEARS-OLD. SHE IS NOW TEN. SHE IS WELL BELOW THE AGE OF 12. ELEMENT NUMBER ONE HAS BEEN PROVEN BEYOND ANY REASONABLE DOUBT.

THE SECOND ELEMENT, THIS IS A LITTLE HARDER ELEMENT -- THAT THE DEFENDANT COMMITTED AN ACT UPON, IN WHICH THE SEXUAL ORGAN OF THE VICTIM WAS PENETRATED OR HAD UNION WITH THE MOUTH OF THE DEFENDANT. THAT'S IT.

WE MUST SHOW THAT ANGELA WAS UNDER THE AGE OF TWELVE AND THAT THE DEFENDANT'S MOUTH OR TONGUE HAD UNION WITH OR CAME IN CONTACT TO THE VAGINA, THE PRIVATES OF ANGELA

AND I THINK SHE SAID SO WITH GRAPHIC DETAIL.

THAT LITTLE GIRL WAS FORCED TO SUFFER AT THE HANDS OF

THIS MAN.

THOSE ARE ALL THE ELEMENTS, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. THERE'S NOTHING MORE THAT NEED BE PROVEN. IT BOILS DOWN TO: DO YOU BELIEVE ANGELA AND MICHELLE COLE, OR DO YOU BELIEVE THAT RAG-TAG TEAM OF WITNESSES THAT CAME IN AND LIED ON THE STAND?

IF YOU BELIEVE THE DEFENDANT'S VERSION AND HIS WITNESS' VERSION OF WHAT HAPPENED, THEN YOU GO IN

THAT JURY ROOM AND RETURN A VERDICT OF NOT GUILTY. 1 DON'T WASTE ANY TIME DOING SO. 2 BUT IF YOU BELIEVE IN ANGELA AND 3 MICHELLE . IF YOU BELIEVE THEIR STORY, AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE TESTIMONY OF THE DEFENSE WITNESSES AS I 5 DO, WITH CONTEMPT, YOU FIND THE DEFENDANT GUILTY OF 6 SEXUAL BATTERY. 7 THE JUDGE WILL ALSO INFORM YOU THAT THERE ARE CERTAIN ELEMENTS THAT THE STATE MUST PROVE REGARDING 9 A BURGLARY CHARGE, AND BEFORE YOU CAN FIND THE DEFENDANT 10 GUILTY OF BURGLARY THE STATE MUST SHOW THE FOLLOWING 11 12 THREE ELEMENTS: ONE IS THAT THE DEFENDANT ENTERED OR 13 REMAINED IN A STRUCTURE OWNED BY OR IN THE POSSESSION 14 OF CATHY COLE. 15 AT THE TIME, NUMBER TWO, THE DEFENDANT DID 16 NOT HAVE THE PERMISSION OR CONSENT OF CATHY OR 17 ANYONE AUTHORIZED TO ACT FOR HER TO ENTER OR REMAIN 18 IN THE STRUCTURE AT THAT TIME. 19 AND, THREE, AT THE TIME OF ENTERING OR 20 REMAINING IN THE STRUCTURE, THE DEFENDANT, SCOTTY 21 MERSON, HAD A FULLY-FORMED, CONSCIOUS INTENT TO COMMIT 22 THE OFFENSE OF BATTERY IN THAT STRUCTURE. 23

24

25

THOSE ARE THE THREE ELEMENTS. CLEARLY,
ALTHOUGH SCOTTY MERSON AT ONE TIME LIVED AT THAT HOUSE,

HE DID NOT HAVE PERMISSION TO COME IN THAT HOME. AND WE ALL KNOW THAT NO ONE COMES INTO A HOME STEALTHILY AT TWO O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING FOR ANY GOOD REASON.

HE WALKED IN AND WENT BACK TO THE BEDROOM
WHERE THE GIRLS WERE STAYING. IT WASN'T HARD TO FIND.
HE WENT IN AND COMMITTED A BATTERY UPON MICHELLE
WHEN HE PLACED HIS HAND OVER HER MOUTH; TOLD HER TO BE
QUIET, AND THEN AFTER SEXUALLY BATTERING ANGELA
THREATENING THE GIRLS THAT, "IF YOU TELL, I'M GOING TO
BLOW UP THIS HOUSE."

IMAGINE THE FRIGHT AND CONCERN IN A SMALL CHILD. CHILDREN DON'T KNOW, AND CERTAINLY ADULTS DON'T EITHER, WHETHER A PERSON IS GOING TO BLOW UP A HOUSE.
BUT CERTAINLY, GIVEN THEIR AGE, THEY HAD NO REASON TO DISBELIEVE HIM.

I WOULD SUBMIT TO YOU, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN,
THAT THE STATE HAS PROVEN THE FOLLOWING THREE ELEMENTS
OF A BURGLARY WITH A BATTERY BEYOND AND TO THE EXCLUSION
OF EVERY REASONABLE DOUBT.

CHILDREN HAVE THE SAME RIGHTS WE DO. AND A

1	CHILD HAS THE SAME RIGHT AS ANYONE ELSE TO BE BELIEVED.
2	AND IF YOU BELIEVE ANGELA AND MICHELLE . AS I
3	SUBMIT TO YOU THEY SHOULD BE BELIEVED, GIVEN ALL OF
4	THE EVIDENCE THAT THE STATE HAS PRESENTED, I URGE YOU,
5	I IMPLORE YOU TO DO YOUR DUTY AND FIND SCOTTY MERSON
6	GUILTY AS CHARGED.
7	THANK YOU, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN.
8	THE COURT: COUNSEL, APPROACH THE BENCH.
9	(THEREUPON, COUNSEL APPROACHED THE BENCH AND THE
10	FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HAD OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE
11	JURY, AND ON THE RECORD AS FOLLOWS:)
12	THE COURT: IT'S OBVIOUS YOU AREN'T GOING TO BE
13	ABLE TO DO THIS WITHIN 30 MINUTES. YOU TAKE WHATEVER
14	TIME YOU FEEL IS REASONABLE.
15	MR. EIDE: THANK YOU.
16	(THEREUPON, THE SIDE-BAR CONFERENCE WAS CONCLUDED.)
17	THE COURT: THE DEFENSE MAY PROCEED.
18	MR. EIDE: THANK YOU.
19	CLOSING ARGUMENT
20	MR. EIDE: AN EASY QUESTION HE SAYS; THEY ARE
21	EITHER LYING OR TELLING THE TRUTH. THAT'S IT; BLACK
22	AND WHITE, SIMPLE, STRAIGHTFORWARD. AND THAT'S ALL
23	THERE IS TO IT.
24	IF YOU BELIEVE THE CHILDREN, THEN MR. MERSON
25	IS GUILTY. AND IF YOU DON'T BELIEVE THE CHILDREN, IF